

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/525,960	COUENNE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

 (2) Donald Stout.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 November 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**


- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*None*
**Claims discussed:**
*The claims of record*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*None*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner required an election of species between the invention of claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 and claims 3 and 6. Upon the election of the species of claims 1, 2, 4, and 5, the examiner proposed cancelling the non-elected species to place the case in condition for allowance. Donald Stout then authorized the cancellation by examiner's amendment.